ASSISTANCE NO: FS - 98 9 6 9 501 1. Date Close Out Certification sent electronically to PO: 220 (3 (Always send with return receipt) (Send within 90 days of grant expiration per the Closeout Policy. Enter RP code into Post-Award Database) Date PO response required: 3 20 3 Response received: 429 13 Date second request sent to PO with appropriate copies, if applicable: 2. Financial Closeout completed by LVFC: 42 Date GS reviewed: 419 2 Date GS reviewed: 419 2 Date GS reviewed: 419 2 Date GS reviewed: 419 3 Date FFR (copy) sent to Project Officer: (If "F" funds, "cc" Martha Vega, WST-2) 3. Is the approved negotiated indirect cost rate used for the applicable period? N/A Yes No Is the Federal share of indirect costs applied correctly? N/A Yes No Comments: 4. Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/A Yes No Explanation: 4. Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/A Yes No Explanation: 4. Is there a maintenance of effort into the FFR meet the required MOE? Yes No Explanation: 4. Is there a maintenance of effort into the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? Yes No Explanation: 4. Is the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? Yes No Explanation: 4. Is the analytic part of the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: 4. Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FFR the same? If no, explain:		CLOSE OUT CHECKLIST	
1. Date Close Out Certification sent electronically to PO: 2/20/(3 (Always send with return receipt) (Send within 90 days of grant expiration per the Closeout Policy. Enter RP'code into Post- Award Database) Date PO response required: 3/20/(3 Response received: 4/29/13 Date second request sent to PO with appropriate copies, if applicable: 2. Financial Closeout completed by LVFC: 4/2/(2) Date GS reviewed: 4/29/13 Date FFR (copy) sent to Project Officer: (If "F" funds, "cc" Martha Vega. WST-2) 3. Is the approved negotiated indirect cost rate used for the applicable period? N/A_Yes_No_ Is the Federal share of indirect costs applied correctly? N/A_Yes_No_ Comments: 4. Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/A_Yes_No_ If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE? Yes_No_ Explanation: 4. Are the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? Yes_No_ If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND its AGTUAL AND NON-FEDERAL fon-recurrent expenditures per the final FFR the saward AND its AGTUAL AND NON-FEDERAL fon-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's expenditures per the final FFR the saward AND its AGTUAL AND NON-FEDERAL fon-recurrent expenditures per the final FFR the saward AND its AGTUAL AND NON-FEDERAL fon-recurrent expenditures per the final FFR the saward AND its AGTUAL AND	REC	CIPIENT: The Hopi Trube	
Database) Date PO response required: 3 20 3 Response received: 429 13 Date second request sent to PO with appropriate copies, if applicable: Date GS reviewed: 429 13 Date FIR (copy) sent to Project Officer: (If "F" funds, "co" Martha Vega, WST-2) Is the approved negotiated indirect cost rate used for the applicable period? N/A Yes No Is the Federal share of indirect costs applied correctly? N/A Yes No Comments: 4. Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/A Yes No If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE? Yes No Explanation: 4. Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/A Yes No If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE? Yes No Explanation: 4. Are the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? Yes No If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation:	ASS	SISTANCE NO .: FS - 98969501	
Database) Date PO response required: 3 20 3 Response received: 429 13 Date second request sent to PO with appropriate copies, if applicable: Date GS reviewed: 429 13 Date FIR (copy) sent to Project Officer: (If "F" funds, "co" Martha Vega, WST-2) Is the approved negotiated indirect cost rate used for the applicable period? N/A Yes No Is the Federal share of indirect costs applied correctly? N/A Yes No Comments: 4. Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/A Yes No If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE? Yes No Explanation: 4. Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/A Yes No If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE? Yes No Explanation: 4. Are the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? Yes No If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation:			
Date second request sent to PO with appropriate copies, if applicable: 2. Financial Closeout completed by LVFC: 12 Date GS reviewed: 41813 (Print FDW page with closeout LVFC closeout date. LVFC enters Code RA' into IGMS Post-Award Database) Date FFR (copy) sent to Project Officer: (If "F" funds, "cc" Martha Vega, WST-2) 3. Is the approved negotiated indirect cost rate used for the applicable period? N/A Yes No Is the Federal share of indirect costs applied correctly? N/A Yes No Comments: 4. Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/A Yes No If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE? Yes No Explanation: 4. Are the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? Yes No If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: 5. Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the ACTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FFR less that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the ACTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FFR less that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the ACTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FFR less that were	1.	the state of the s	
2. Financial Closeout completed by LVFC: 42 Deate GS reviewed: 48 2 (Print FDW page with closeout LVFC closeout date. LVFC enters Code 'RA' into IGMS Post-Award Database) Date FFR (copy) sent to Project Officer: (If "F" funds, "cc" Martha Vega, WST-2) 3. Is the approved negotiated indirect cost rate used for the applicable period? N/A Yes No Is the Federal share of indirect costs applied correctly? N/A Yes No Gomments: 4. Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/A Yes No If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE? Yes No Explanation: AIR 105 ONLY (questions 5 & 6) 5. Are the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? Yes No If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that the a		Date PO response required: 3 20 3 Response received: 429/13	
(Print FDW page with closeout LVFC closeout date. LVFC enters Code RA' into IGMS Post-Award Database) Date FFR (copy) sent to Project Officer: (If "F" funds, "cc" Martha Vega, WST-2) 3. Is the approved negotiated indirect cost rate used for the applicable period? N/AYesNo Is the Federal share of indirect costs applied correctly? N/AYesNo Comments: 4. Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/AYesNo If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE? YesNo Explanation: AIR 105 ONLY (questions 5 & 6) Are the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? YesNo If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the ACTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final EFR to some		Date second request sent to PO with appropriate copies, if applicable:	
Is the approved negotiated indirect cost rate used for the applicable period? N/A_YesNo Is the Federal share of indirect costs applied correctly? N/A_YesNo Comments: N/A_YesNo State Federal share of indirect costs applied correctly? N/A_YesNo Comments: N/A_YesNo Comments: N/A_YesNo Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/A_YesNo If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE? YesNo Explanation: Explanation: NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? YesNo If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FFR the came.	2.	(Print FDW page with closeout LVFC closeout date I VFC enters Code (PA) into ICMS Port	
Is the approved negotiated indirect cost rate used for the applicable period? N/A_YesNo Is the Federal share of indirect costs applied correctly? N/A_YesNo Comments: N/A_YesNo State Federal share of indirect costs applied correctly? N/A_YesNo Comments: N/A_YesNo Comments: N/A_YesNo Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/A_YesNo If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE? YesNo Explanation: Explanation: NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? YesNo If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FFR the came.		412913	
Is the Federal share of indirect costs applied correctly? N/A Yes No Comments: Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/A Yes No If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE? Yes No Explanation: AIR 105 ONLY (questions 5 & 6) Are the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? Yes No If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the AQTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FER the composition.		Date FFR (copy) sent to Project Officer:(If "F" funds, "cc" Martha Vega, WST-2)	
Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/AYes No If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE?	3.	Is the approved negotiated indirect cost rate used for the applicable period? N/AYesNo	
Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/AYes No If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE?		Is the Federal share of indirect costs applied correctly? N/A Yes No.	
If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE? Explanation: AIR 105 ONLY (questions 5 & 6) Are the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the AOTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FFR the common should be a solution.	10.0		
If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOE? Explanation: AIR 105 ONLY (questions 5 & 6) Are the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the AOTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FFR the common should be a solution.			
AIR 105 ONLY (questions 5 & 6) Are the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the AOTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FER the compa	4.	Is there a maintenance of effort (MOE) requirement for this assistance program? N/A Ves_ No_	
AIR 105 ONLY (questions 5 & 6) Are the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the AOTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FER the compa		If yes, does the non-Federal share reflected on the FFR meet the required MOF?	
Are the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the AOTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FER the same of			
Are the actual NON-FEDERAL expenditures per the final FFR less than the budgeted NON-FEDERAL expenditures reflected in the latest assistance agreements? If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the AOTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FER the same of			
If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation: Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the ACTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FER the same?	AIR 1	05 ONLY (questions 5 & 6)	
Are the ANTICIPATED NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures that were shown in the recipient's application and approved on the award AND the AOTUAL NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FER the same?	5.	TOTAL Experiultures reflected in the latest assistance agreements?	
NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FER the same?		If yes, did the recipient certify that supplanting did not occur? Explanation:	
NON-FEDERAL non-recurrent expenditures per the final FER the same?			
TON-1 EDENAL HOH-recurrent expenditures her the final FER the come?	5,	SHOWITH THE TECHNIER S application and approved on the award AND the ACTUAL	
113 Fed shows off		Toll-recurrent expenditures per the final FFR the cameb	12
All	8	1) Fed shave off	17
Exemps wis assed, ropost correctly, and resumment	100	The second mis to sted, i good correctly, and resulprivette	

7. Date final MBE/WBE Utilization Report received in GMO: 4

	8.	Other Reports, if applicable:
		Federally Owned Property Report:
		Invention Disclosure Report: (date received) (date received)
	9.	Special Lobbying Certification received: (Only grants funded FY2000-2006 if applicable)
	10	. Have all administrative terms and conditions been met? Yes No
		If no, specify action(s) needed:
	11	. Date EOY Evaluation/Final Report received: 429 3
	12	Superfund & Audit has been issued: N/A Yes No Date SRF Only Audit findings resolved: N/A Yes No Date
		3. Date grant file closed: Date entered into IGMS: 5/8/13 enaction of Close out via email with return receipt:
	14	(Print return receipt for file)
RE	EVII	EWER_Vernese Major DATE 5/9/2013
		G:\user\share\MTS7\FORMS\Closeout Checklist 2010 Revised 3/2/10
1		1 mineral TED
	3	Need-revised FFR Carrie Saeeva

revenue mis posted, repost correctly and resubmit (prompted by my phonocall)
See email pollow up received by Ann (prompted by my phonocall)

123,254.72 reported 123,254. ble adjusted to replect



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

MEMORANDUM

DATE: February 20, 2013

SUBJECT: Grant File Closeout Certification

FROM: Vernese Gholson, Grants Specialist, MTS-7

TO: Bessie Lee, Project Officer, WTR-6

GRANT NUMBER: FS-98969501 RECIPIENT: The Hopi Tribe

PROGRAM. DRINKING WATER INFRASTRUCTURE TRIBAL SET-ASIDE

BUDGET/PROJECT PERIOD: 10/01/2000 - 12/31/20012

Due Date: March 20, 2013

A copy of the final FFR will be forwarded to you via email by LVFC once received.

Closeout procedures require you to complete and submit this CLOSE-OUT CERTIFICATION, and a copy of the END-OF-YEAR-EVALUATION, as applicable, for the Official Grant File.

Please complete this memorandum and EMAIL it to me by the due date above. If you have any questions, please contact me at 7-4200.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

PLEASE COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING GRANT FILE CLOSEOUT CERTIFICATION

INSTRUCTIONS:

- ===> PLEASE REVIEW THE FINAL FSR, IF APPLICABLE
- ===> CHECK ONE OPTION UNDER EACH NUMBER
- ===> DATE AND EMAIL ENTIRE FORM TO GRANTS SPECIALIST
- 1. (X) All programmatic conditions listed in grant award have been met, as applicable.
 - () All programmatic conditions have not been met. The following actions will be taken:
- 2. (X) Work plan requirements have been met and close-out should proceed. Date of Acceptance: {April 29, 2013}
- () Workplan requirements have not been met.

() EPA and the recipient have negotiated a resolution of the issues. Closeout may proceed.
() Close-out may not proceed until (explain what is needed):
3. () End-of-Year Evaluation (sent copy to GMO on { }).
(X) Final performance or progress report has been submitted by recipient, reviewed and accepted by the project officer on (Date: April 29, 2013). A copy of the final performance/progress report will be retained in the official program grant file.
() Other (please explain):
4. Did EPA authorize any equipment to be purchased by the recipient which, as of the grant expiration date, has a current fair market value in excess of \$5,000 per unit? OR, any supplies with an aggregate value of \$5,000 or more?
() Yes
(X) No
5. If yes, please check one of the following disposal options:
() There recipient is a State agency and may manage and dispose of equipment and/or aggregate supplies having a value of \$5,000 or more acquired under this Assistance Agreement in accordance with State laws and procedures. The recipient may continue to use the equipment and/or aggregate supplies having a value of \$5,000 or more in the project or program for which it was acquired as long as needed.
() The recipient may continue to use the equipment and/or aggregate supplie having a value of \$5,000 or more for other activities currently or previously supported by a Federal Agency.
() Because this is a Superfund project and the equipment and/or aggregate supplies having a value of \$5,000 or more is no longer needed, I have discussed disposal options with the recipient per 40 CFR 35.6345. Cite recommended action:

Bessie Lee on 29 April 2013

(Name & Date Completed by Project Officer)

Form Revised 5/2006 R9 GMO